Organisation: UNDP Bangladesh Programme/Project title: DE 6 – Sustainable Democratic Union Parishad Project. (A component under UNDP's Efficient and Accountable Local Governance (EALG) Project

Outcome and output reporting – v5 2019

Note: The EALG team has carefully reviewed the Baseline survey report findings and have some observations on its quality and validity of all data and the methodology applied. The EALG/SDUP team has entered the basic findings relevant for the indicators in this report (column 2) but has relied on EALG's own data for reporting on achievements in 2018 and 2019 (columns 3 and 4) as EALG has been collecting information from all targeted UPs (240) by checking relevant documentation of claims for holding meetings etc. However, the Baseline survey has a number of flaws and only collected information from 40 UPs. While the baseline survey report has been accepted in its final version, a management response to this by EALG will be issued and annexed to the EALG annual report Feb 2020.

Indicators	Baseline [Final Study released Oct. 2019]	2018 Status of achievement	2019 Status of achievement	Target 2019	Target 2020	End 2021 Status of achievement
Outcome: Pro-poor and	l responsive services b	y selected UPs enh	nanced ⁱ			
Indicator 1.1 25 % of poor, vulnerable and socially excluded citizens in UPs under the targeted 8 districts having access to decision making processes.	11.3% of poor, vulnerable and socially excluded citizens in UPs under the targeted 8 districts having access to decision making processes.	Ward Shava and Open Budget Sessions were organized in limited scale and poor and vulnerable people had limited scope for contribution.	EALG contributed to increasing participation of rural poor and marginalized people in the decision-making process of local governance through conduction of community-level meetings (Ward Shavas) at Union Parishads (UPs) [[E1]]. 100% of the targeted UPs conducted Ward Shava compared to 42.9% as per baseline survey [E2]. A total of 312,105 citizens took part in Ward Shavas in targeted UPs in 2019, of which 36.87% were women and about 12.02% were poor, vulnerable and socially-excluded citizens.[E4]]. This improvement has been occurred through different initiatives of EALG project that includes training, technical assistance and logistics support. As part of its technical assistance EALG supported LGD by drafting a guideline for holding WS effectively. The guideline was issued by the LGD to UPs clearly mentioning the importance of participation of diverse section of people including women in the Ward Shava as well as proper documentation of WS including a list of socially excluded people attended in the meeting. In addition, orientation was provided to UP Chair and Secretary to improve their capacity in planning, conducting and managing the WS well. Furthermore, a total of	10% of poor, vulnerable and socially excluded citizens in 30 % UPs under the targeted 8 districts having access to decision making processes.	15% of poor, vulnerable and socially excluded citizens in 30 % UPs under the targeted 8 districts having access to decision making processes.	25% of poor, vulnerable and socially excluded citizen in 50 % of UPs under the targeted 8 districts having access to decision making processes.

			3,513 CSO/CBO members were oriented on local governance issues putting emphasis on community participation in WS and Open Budget sessions to influence the decisions of UPs in local development. CSO/CBO representatives along with their members are participating in WS after receiving orientation. Such combined efforts largely contributed in improving participation and quality discussion in the WS. A total of 5,553 development schemes have been implemented in targeted Union Parishads in 2018-19. Among those, 4,626 (83.3%) schemes were proposed in the community-level meetings (WS) that demonstrates citizen especially the poor, vulnerable and marginalized peoples' influence on UP's decision- making process particularly deciding on development intervention [[E4]]. Through these schemes, around 2.5 million citizens at UP level have been benefited.			
Indicator 1.2 50 % of service recipients satisfied with services provided by selected UPs under the targeted 8 districts (citizenship certificate, birth registration, safety-net allowances etc.)	39.8% of service recipients satisfied with services provided by selected UPs under the targeted 8 districts.	Scopes were there for improvement of quality of service delivered by UPs.	The Household survey of the Baseline Study in project areas reveals that 39.8% citizen showed their satisfaction of the overall services of UPs[E2]. Satisfaction on specific services related information need to be collected from the service recipients, which would be done through mid-term evaluation in 2020.	20% of service recipients satisfied with services provided by selected UPs under the targeted 8 districts (citizenship certificate, birth registration, safety-net allowances etc.)	30% of service recipients satisfied with services provided by selected UPs under the targeted 8 districts (citizenship certificate, birth registration, safety-net allowances etc.)	50% of service recipients satisfied with services provided by selected UPs under the targeted 8 districts (citizenship certificate, birth registration, safety-net allowances etc.)

Indicators	Baseline [Final	2018 Status of achievement	2019 Status of achievement	Target 2019	Target 2020	End 2021 Status of achievement
	Study released Oct. 2019]	achievement				achievement
Output 1: Capacity of ta	-	d to provide pro-poor	and responsive services			
Indicator 1.1.1:	85.7% of UPs only	There are legal	EALG monitoring finding reveals	25% of UPs have	35% of UPs have	60% of UPs have
% of UPs have	formed Standing	provisions for SCs	that 36% of UPs have operational	operational SCs.	operational SCs.	operational SCs.
operational Standing	Committees but	for every UPs	Standing Committees (SC)[E4],			
Committees (SCs) ¹	none of these	headed by UP	and are becoming increasingly			
	were operational.	members. In most	functional [E4].			
	[Validation survey	cases, the SCs were				
	reveals that UP	formed to comply	The Baseline survey reported on			
	formed the SCs on	with the legal	formation of SC and meetings			
	paper, and even	obligations, which	along with the UP's general			
	UP members were	needs to be	meeting. Baseline survey's claims			
	not much familiar	functional.	regarding 'standing committees			
	with the functions		are operational' reflects the gap in			
	and activities of		defining 'operational'. It seems			
	the SCs].		the Baseline report measures UPs			
			functionality by only looking at			
			the <u>formation of SC</u> while <u>EALG</u>			
			considers an 'operational' SC is			
			related to holding of regular			
			meetings and availability of			
			evidence of such meetings. The			
			Baseline report also stated UP			
			formed SCs on paper, and even UP			
			members were not much familiar			
			with the functions and activities of			
			the SCs (page-53), which weakens			
			the claim of 'operational'. The			
			Baseline mentioned that 40% UPs			
			did not held monthly meeting			
			(page-52) and in such context			
			claiming the SCs 'operational' with			
			regular meetings can be further			
			questioned. In addition,			
			triangulation of information of			

¹ Standing Committees constituted and hold meetings on regular basis.

Indicators	Baseline [Final Study released Oct. 2019]	2018 Status of achievement	2019 Status of achievement	Target 2019	Target 2020	End 2021 Status of achievement
			survey, FGD/KII seems week as			
			the survey found SC holds a good			
			number of meetings while the			
			FGD and KII reflects UP members			
			(SC Chair) are not familiar with the			
			functions of SCs, thus claims of			
			'operational' SCs need to be taken			
			carefully. Keeping this weakness in			
			mind, EALG depends on its regular			
			monitoring data to report on the			
			progress on operational' with			
			evidence. EALG's periodical			
			monitoring collects information			
			from UPs and cross-checks data			
			with evidence (e.g. minutes of the			
			meetings) to confirm the claims of			
			holding meetings, which perhaps			
			was missing in the Baseline survey			
			data; hence the survey data was			
			contradictory with the KII and FGD			
			findings.]			
			It was observed that Standing			
			Committees were formed only to			
			comply with the legal obligations,			
			but members of different			
			committees were not aware of			
			their roles and functions. It is			
			noted that SC chairpersons have			
			poor capacity in conducting			
			meetings systematically, resulting			
			in non-functioning of SCs. EALG			
			therefore conducted orientation			
			sessions for the SC members to			
			make them aware and improve			
			their confidence in conducting SC			
			meetings.			

Indicators	Baseline [Final Study released Oct. 2019]	2018 Status of achievement	2019 Status of achievement	Target 2019	Target 2020	End 2021 Status of achievement
			A total of 6,971 SC members of which 1,602 female members (22.9%) received orientation from EALG, enabling them to conduct SC meetings more effectively.			
			EALG also organized workshop headed by Deputy Commissioners on functioning SCs with UP Chairs and Secretaries. A total of 489 participants attended the event at district level.			
			As a result, SCs are becoming increasingly functional [E4].			
Indicator 1.1.2: % of targeted UPs with increased participation of women and marginalized citizens in Ward Shavas and Open Budget Sessions	42.9 % targeted UPs with increased participation of women and marginalized citizens in Ward Shavas and Open Budget Sessions	This is mandatory by law for UPs to organize Ward Shava twice a year. EALG has been providing supports to targeted UPs to hold Ward Shava.	All targeted UPs show increased participation of women and marginalized citizens in Ward Shavas and Open Budget Sessions. Ward Shavas: (36.87%) were women and (12.02%) were poor and marginalized[E4]. The average participants of Ward Shavas were increased by 11% (in 2018 on and average 100 people took part in the WS while in 2019 the average participation in WS was 111) compared to the last year.	30% targeted UPs with increased participation of women and marginalized citizens in Ward Shavas and Open Budget Sessios.	40% targeted UPs with increased participation of women and marginalized citizens in Ward Shavas and Open Budget Sessions.	65% targeted UPs with increased participation of women and marginalized citizens in Ward Shavas and Open Budget Sessions.
			Although the Baseline study was released in October 2019, field data was collected during 2018 and early 2019 while the draft report was shared in April 2019. Given the timeslip between data collection and submission of the draft report, the baseline did not			

Indicators	Baseline [Final Study released Oct. 2019]	2018 Status of achievement	2019 Status of achievement	Target 2019	Target 2020	End 2021 Status of achievement
	-		capture 2019 data; since the WS			
			are hold twice a year (first one is			
			in April and the second one is in			
			October), the increased			
			participation as per EALG MIS			
			reporting is a cumulative effort			
			until end 2019. EALG took			
			multiple initiatives to increase			
			participation of women and			
			marginalized people in Ward			
			Shava.			
			EALG provided technical			
			assistance and logistics support to			
			UPs in holding community level			
			meetings (Ward Shavas), enabling			
			increased participation of			
			community people especially from			
			youth, women, poor and the			
			marginalized section of the			
			community to raise their voice in			
			planning and budgeting process of			
			UP.			
			EALG has developed a			
			comprehensive operational			
			guideline on conduction of			
			community-level meetings			
			together with orientation			
			sessions, which helped all UPs to			
			organize these public meetings			
			and document them in a more			
			systemic manner.			
			It has been observed that UPs are			
			constrained in resources to hold			
			WS and thus they seldom organize			
			them. EALG, considering field			
			recommendations provided			

Indicators	Baseline [Final Study released Oct. 2019]	2018 Status of achievement	2019 Status of achievement	Target 2019	Target 2020	End 2021 Status of achievement
			financial support for organizing			
			the community-level meetings to			
			examine whether financial			
			assistance can help regularizing			
			the WS. It has found financial			
			assistance worked well for			
			organizing these large-scale			
			community gatherings.			
			A total of 2,814 community level			
			meetings (Ward Shavas) were			
			conducted at UP level with			
			technical assistance from EALG.			
			This interactive platform enabled			
			citizen engagement, wider			
			transparency and accountability of			
			UPs to the constituents by			
			committing more schemes to			
			address the needs of the poor,			
			women and marginalized people.			
			A total of 5,553 development			
			schemes have been implemented			
			in targeted Union Parishads in			
			2018-19. Among these, 4,626			
			(83.3%) schemes have been taken			
			from the proposal/			
			recommendations made in the			
			community-level meetings [[E4]].			
Output 1.2: Targeted UPs	have integrated clima	te resilience measures	in the UP-development plan			
Indicator 1.2.1:	14.3 % (6 UPs of 40	UPs did not have	16% (38 UPs) UPs have integrated	20% of climate	30% of climate	50% of climate
% climate vulnerable	surveyed in	capacity to prepare	climate adaptation issues into	vulnerable UPs with	vulnerable UPs with	vulnerable UPs with
UPs with climate	treatment areas)	5-year plan, and	their development plan and took	climate resilience	climate resilience	climate resilience
resilience measures	climate vulnerable	they were not well-	measures for climate resilience. It	measures integrated	measures integrated	measures integrated
integrated into their 5-	UPs with climate	oriented about	can be mentioned here EALG	into their 5-year	into their 5-year	into their 5-year
year development plan "	resilience	climate	selected UPs under its	development plan.	development plan.	development plan.
	measures	vulnerability and	intervention looking at the			
	integrated into		performance assessment			

Indicators	Baseline [Final Study released Oct. 2019]	2018 Status of achievement	2019 Status of achievement	Target 2019	Target 2020	End 2021 Status of achievement
	their 5-year	climate resilience	conducted by LGSP and picked 30			
	development plan	measures.	lowest ranked UPs from each of			
	iii		the 8 selected districts; hence all			
			the selected UPs (240) are not			
			equally climate vulnerable.			
			Currently, EALG do not have the			
			list of climate vulnerable UPs,			
			therefore report captured			
			information of UPs that planned			
			activities/ schemes to address			
			climate vulnerability. However,			
			EALG will prepare a list of climate			
			vulnerable UPs among the			
			targeted 240 UPs having			
			assistance from UNDP's Climate			
			and Resilience Clusters and			
			relevant projects e.g. LoGIC (Local			
			Government Initiative on Climate			
			Change) in 2020 and report on			
			climate vulnerable UPs and their			
			initiatives on climate resilience.			
			EALG will survey climate			
			vulnerable UPs in 2020 focusing			
			on these specifically on capacity			
			building to integrate Climate			
			resilience in UP 5-year/ annual			
			plans, also linking to UNDP			
			LOGICC project on Climate			
			Change. It is estimated that that			
			around 120 UPs (50%) will be			
			Climate vulnerable.			
			EALG provided training and			
			backstopping support to number			
			of UPs for addressing climate			
			issues in their planning process. As			
			a result, 16% of climate vulnerable			

Indicators	Baseline [Final Study released Oct. 2019]	2018 Status of achievement	2019 Status of achievement	Target 2019	Target 2020	End 2021 Status of achievement
Output 1.3: Poor and mar	ginalized citizens, inclu	uding women, are emp	UPs integrated the climate adaptation issues in their planning document and allocated resources to schemes that could reduce climate vulnerability, improve climate adaptative practices for resilience. A total of 197 schemes have been taken for addressing climate issue and the budgetary amount of those schemes were BDT 3,53,00850 [E4].	s and make decisions on	local development	
<i>Indicator 1.3.1:</i> % of women and marginalized citizens taking part in Ward Shavas/elections	18.7 % marginalized citizens and 4.6% women taking part and Ward Shavas	Ward Shavas should be organized with at least 5% of total voters of the Ward. EALG encourages participation of women and marginalized people in the Ward Shavas.	EALG notes that women's participation in Ward Shavas is increasing. UP reports on WS reveals that approx. 36.87% participants were women out of 312,105 people participating in WS. Although UPs do not collect information of participants' socio- economic background, it can be assumed (based on estimation of UP) that around 12.02% of them were from the vulnerable and marginalized section of the community [E4].	10% of women and marginalized citizens taking part in Ward Shavas/elections.	15% of women and marginalized citizens taking part in Ward Shavas/elections.	25% of women and marginalized citizens taking part in Ward Shavas/elections.
<i>Indicator 1.3.2:</i> % of budget allocation for participation of marginalized citizens and women in development initiatives	28.6 % of UP allocated budget for participation of marginalized citizens and women in development initiatives	UPs did not have separate budgetary provision for marginalized citizens and women.	Out of all 240 UPs, 81 % have allocated resources for marginalised citizens and women in their annual budget. A total BDT 58,391,185 (<u>around 10% of total</u> <u>development budget</u>) was spent on development initiatives that support participation of marginalized citizen and women.	5% of development budget allocation for participation of marginalized citizens and women in development initiatives.	10% of development budget allocation for participation of marginalized citizens and women in development initiatives.	20% of development budget allocation for participation of marginalized citizens and women in development initiatives.

Indicators	Baseline [Final Study released Oct. 2019]	2018 Status of achievement	2019 Status of achievement	Target 2019	Target 2020	End 2021 Status of achievement
Output 1.4. Increased line			A total of 5,553 schemes have been implemented in 2018-19 with a total budget of BDT 588,127,442. A total of 549 schemes have been implemented with <u>an investment of 10% of total</u> <u>development spending to</u> <u>especially benefit women, poor</u> <u>and vulnerable citizens. [E4].</u>			balders
Indicator 1.4.1.; Number of measures (memos/circulars/ guidelines) issued by LGD on the issues including fiscal flow to UP, UP taxation and assignment of health and education services to UP	Not applicable	Provision for inter- ministerial dialogues on LGI issues was not in practice.	Local Government Division (LGD) formed Policy Advisory Group (PAG) through an Office Order. PAG involved academia, representatives from civil society, representatives from LGIs and government officials to enable policy support and guidance for strengthening of LGIs. First meeting of the PAG was held on 23 December 2019 and took number of affirmative decisions to improve local governance. PAG decided that LGD should take <u>necessary measures to earmark</u> <u>budget provisions for Ward Shava,</u> <u>Open Budget sessions, disclosure</u> <u>of information related to income</u> <u>and expenditure and holding of</u> <u>Standing Committee meetings</u> on a regular basis to improve local governance. It is expected that an <u>Office Order will be issued shortly</u> <u>by the LGD to ensure budget</u> <u>provisions</u> to implement the	1 memo/circular/ guideline issued by LGD on the issues including fiscal flow to UP, UP taxation and assignment of health and education services to UP	2 memo/circular/ guideline issued by LGD on the issues including fiscal flow to UP, UP taxation and assignment of health and education services to UP	4 memo/circular/ guideline issued by LGD on the issues including fiscal flow to UP, UP taxation and assignment of health and education services to UP

Indicators	Baseline [Final Study released Oct. 2019]	2018 Status of achievement	2019 Status of achievement	Target 2019	Target 2020	End 2021 Status of achievement
			decisions taken in the PAG. In addition, PAG Chair (LGD Secretary) has instructed LGD			
			officials to examine the provision of Co-financing for LGIs to expand their resource base for local development.			
			EALG has commissioned two studies related to fiscal decentralization and local resource mobilization which will be completed in 2020. EALG will organize seminar/ dialogue to share the findings of the study and present the study findings in the PAG to enable policy support/			
			guidance for increased resource base for LGIs. Recommendations of local resource mobilization study will be utilized to review and update the existing Model Tax Schedule of UP.			

ⁱ The targets are cumulative for the outcome level indicators for the engagement period ($2018 \rightarrow 21$)

[[E2]] Baseline survey report of EALG project

[[E3]] EALG Semi-annual report 2019

[[E4]] Project MIS report

ⁱⁱ Climate vulnerable UPs indicate the UPs affected by flood, river erosion, salinity, drought etc.

^{[[}E1]] Local Government Budget: Bringing change through people's participation(English news published in English Newspaper]: Link: <u>https://www.thedailystar.net/city/news/bringing-change-through-peoples-participation-1752241</u>